1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF: )
4	<pre>Illinois Bell Telephone ) Company and Sprint ) Spectrum L.P. )</pre>
5	) No. 04-0631
6	Joint Petition for Approval ) of First Amendment to the ) Interconnections Agreement )
7	dated October 11 2004, ) pursuant to 47 U.S.C. )
8	Section 252 )
9	
10	
11	Chicago, Illinois
12	November 16, 2004
13	
14	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 o'clock a.m
15	
16	BEFORE:
17	
18	JOHN T. RILEY,
19	Administrative Law Judge
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	
4	JAMES A. HUTTENHAUER
5	225 West Randolph Street Suite 25-D
6	Chicago, Illinois 60606  appearing for
7	Illinois Bell Telephone Company (SBC Illinois);
8	MS. BRANDY D. B. BROWN 160 North LaSalle Street
9	Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601
10	appearing for staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission.
11	TITITIOIS COMMETCE COMMISSION.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by Leah Ann Bezin, CSR
18	License No. 084-001104
19	
20	
21	
22	

```
1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of
```

- 2 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Docket
- 3 04-0631.
- 4 This is a joint petition between
- 5 Illinois Bell Telephone Company and Sprint
- 6 Spectrum, L. P., for approval of the first
- 7 amendment to the interconnection agreement dated
- 8 October, 11, 2004, pursuant to 47 U.S.C.
- 9 Section 252.
- 10 And again will the parties
- 11 stipulate that their appearances in this docket
- 12 are the same as in 0632 and 0633 called earlier
- 13 this morning?
- 14 MS. BROWN: Yes.
- 15 MR. HUTTENHAUER: Yes.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: So stipulated.
- 17 Staff, it's my understanding that
- 18 no one has -- staff Counsel, it is my
- 19 understanding that staff has not issued a
- 20 verified statement in this matter; is that
- 21 correct?
- MS. BROWN: That is correct.

```
1 JUDGE RILEY: What is the reason for that?
```

- MS. BROWN: Staff has not heard from anyone
- 3 from Sprint Spectrum. As far as we know, they
- 4 are not certificated as a telecom carrier here in
- 5 the State of Illinois.
- 6 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- 7 I would note for the record that on
- 8 October 29, 2004, Mr. Weging, of staff counsel,
- 9 also sent an e-mail to myself, Mr. Omoniyi,
- 10 Mr. Huttenhauer and Mr. W. Richard Morris of
- 11 Sprint Spectrum, L.P., who is identified as a
- 12 vice president there.
- 13 It states:
- "In reviewing the records of
- the ICC, I note that Sprint Spectrum
- 16 L. P., was removed as a
- 17 telecommunications carrier back in
- 18 1988. I have reference to a
- 19 Docket No. 98-0487."
- 20 And the e-mail says that this was
- 21 meant for the wireless company and requests that
- 22 Sprint contact them.

1 Obviously, Sprint has not contacted

- 2 staff counsel.
- 3 MS. BROWN: No. As of yesterday at close of
- 4 business, we still had not heard from them.
- 5 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 6 Mr. Huttenhauer, you made some
- 7 efforts this morning to get ahold of someone
- 8 there?
- 9 MR. HUTTENHAUER: Yes.
- 10 Yesterday afternoon, I actually
- 11 tried to contact Mr. Morris. I got information
- 12 that he was out of town until tomorrow.
- Someone at his phone number gave me
- 14 the name of someone else at Sprint, for whom I
- 15 left a message.
- And then a third person from Sprint
- 17 called me back this morning saying that he would
- 18 try and arrange for some lawyer from Sprint
- 19 Spectrum to participate.
- I didn't hear anything further. I
- 21 did, however, leave a message for this third
- 22 person, a man named Charles McKee, providing him

```
1 with the telephone number of this hearing room
```

- 2 in case he got the message in time to have
- 3 someone participate.
- 4 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 5 Well, obviously, no one has made
- 6 any attempt to get in touch with us.
- 7 MR. HUTTENHAUER: That seems to be the
- 8 case.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: And, staff, that is
- 10 your -- that is the position, that is staff's
- 11 resolute position, is that they are not going to
- 12 issue a verified statement in this matter?
- MS. BROWN: Yes. At this point --
- 14 JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- MS. BROWN: -- that's our position.
- 16 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 17 Let me make one more attempt to get
- 18 ahold of someone at the number I have been
- 19 calling.
- 20 (Pause.)
- 21 JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 22 Let the record reflect that I have

- 1 made three attempts to contact someone at the
- 2 office of Mr. W Richard Morris at a phone number
- 3 given to me by SBC of (913) 315-9175.
- 4 We keep getting a voice mail from
- 5 someone other than Mr. Morris. And, as a result,
- 6 we have no participation from Sprint Spectrum,
- 7 L. P., to find out what their position is in this
- 8 matter.
- 9 I cannot think of doing anything
- 10 else right now. I certainly can't close this
- 11 matter. So what we will do -- what is SBC's
- 12 position, just to move for a continuance and see
- 13 if we can get ahold from someone from Sprint?
- 14 MR. HUTTENHAUER: I think with a little more
- time, we may be able to, A, get somebody from
- 16 Sprint.
- 17 Whether they will be able to do
- 18 something with regard to fixing staff's concern
- 19 in this docket before the deadline, I can't say.
- 20 But it might be appropriate to give them at least
- 21 a chance to chime in, and there might be some
- 22 explanation, that that would resolve staff's

- 1 concern.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- Why don't
- 4 we -- anybody -- everybody going to be here next
- 5 Monday?
- 6 MR. HUTTENHAUER: Yes.
- 7 MS. BROWN: I will be here but later in the
- 8 afternoon. Somebody will be able to handle it
- 9 for staff.
- 10 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Somebody will cover it,
- 11 then, for you.
- So if I were to set this at 11:00
- 13 o'clock, that would be all right?
- 14 MS. BROWN: Yes.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Why don't we do that,
- 16 then. That will give us the better part of a
- 17 week to get ahold of someone at Sprint.
- I would note that there also seems
- 19 to be a wrinkle, an additional wrinkle in this
- 20 matter.
- Mr. Huttenhauer, you had mentioned
- that a negotiated agreement, the underlying

- 1 agreement, between SBC and Sprint Spectrum,
- 2 L. P., was actually approved in an 03 docket?
- 3 MR. HUTTENHAUER: Yes. I believe it was
- 4 approved in Docket 03-0569, which the Commission
- 5 approved in November of last year.
- And, yes, I actually have
- 7 the -- from e-docket in that earlier case, the
- 8 verified statement of Qin Liu.
- 9 JUDGE RILEY: Okay. Well, that's where we
- 10 are.
- 11 That is continued to
- 12 November 22nd. And I'll make that 11:00 a.m.
- 13 And with any luck, we will have heard from
- 14 someone from Sprint Spectrum, L. P., by that
- 15 time, and we can determine whether or not
- 16 the -- at least how to proceed at that point.
- 17 MS. BROWN: Um-hmm.
- JUDGE RILEY: Do the parties have anything
- 19 further?
- 20 MR. HUTTENHAUER: Nothing further.
- 21 MS. BROWN: Nothing further from staff.
- 22 JUDGE RILEY: All right.

1					Then	I	con	ntin	ue	this	to	November	22
2	at	1	1:00	a.m.									
3					Than	ık	you	ve	ry	much			
4						(Wł	nere	upc	n,	the	abor	ve-entit]	ed
5						ma	atte	er w	as	cont	inu	ed to	
6						No	oven	nber	22	2, A.	D.,	2004, at	-
7						13	1:00	) o'	clo	ock a	.m.	)	
8													
9													
LO													
L1													
L2													
L3													
L <b>4</b>													
L5													
L6													
L7													
L8													
L9													
20													
21													
22													